



# Federal Regulatory Summary

from the California Hospital Association



## SUMMARY OF PROPOSED RULE – AUGUST 2022

### CY 2023 Outpatient Prospective Payment System

#### Overview

In the July 26 *Federal Register*, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) published a proposed rule proposing updates and policy changes to the Medicare outpatient prospective payment system (OPPS) for calendar year (CY) 2023. If finalized, the policy and payment provisions are generally effective for CY 2023 discharges, beginning January 1, 2023. Comments are due to CMS by September 13, 2022, and can be submitted electronically by using the website's search feature for "1772-P."

The following is a comprehensive summary of the proposed rule's acute care hospital provisions. In addition to annual payment and quality updates, the summary details policies related to the inpatient-only list, payment for separately payable drugs acquired under the 340B program, add-on payments for domestically manufactured N95 respirators, and a request for information (RFI) related to organ acquisition costs.

The proposed rule also includes provisions for ambulatory surgical centers (ASCs). For a detailed summary of those provisions, please contact [cmulvany@calhospital.org](mailto:cmulvany@calhospital.org).

#### For Additional Information

Questions about this summary should be directed to Megan Howard, vice president of federal policy, at (202) 488-3742 or [mhoward@calhospital.org](mailto:mhoward@calhospital.org), or Chad Mulvany, vice president of federal policy, at (202) 270-2143 or [cmulvany@calhospital.org](mailto:cmulvany@calhospital.org). Facility-specific CHA DataSuite analyses were sent under separate cover. Questions about CHA DataSuite should be directed to Alenie Reth, data analytics coordinator, at [areth@calhospital.org](mailto:areth@calhospital.org).

## Contents

Summary of Key Provisions .....	4
CY 2023 Proposed OPSS Payment Update.....	4
Updates Affecting OPSS Payments .....	6
Recalibration of APC Relative Payment Weights.....	6
Changes to Packaged Items and Services.....	8
Wage Index Changes.....	8
Sole Community Hospital (SCH) Adjustment .....	9
Cancer Hospital Adjustment .....	9
Outpatient Outlier Payments .....	9
New Technology APCs .....	10
Pass-Through Payments for Devices.....	10
New Device Pass-Through Applications.....	11
Device-Intensive Procedures .....	11
Payment Changes for Drugs, Biologicals, and Radiopharmaceuticals .....	12
OPSS Payment Methodology for 340B-Purchased Drugs .....	12
Hospital Outpatient Visits .....	14
Inpatient-Only (IPO) List .....	14
OPSS Payment for Software as a Service.....	15
Payment Adjustments: Domestic NIOSH-Approved Surgical N95 Respirator Masks.....	16
Organ Acquisition Payment .....	16
Rural Emergency Hospitals .....	17
REH Payment.....	18
Physician Self-Referral Law.....	18
REH Quality Reporting Program.....	18
Partial Hospitalization Program Services .....	19
Remote Non-PHP Mental Health Services After the COVID-19 PHE .....	20
Mental Health Services Furnished to Patients in their Homes.....	21
Comment Solicitation on Intensive Outpatient Mental Health Treatment .....	22
Remote Direct Supervision of Cardiac and Pulmonary Rehabilitation Services .....	22
Nonphysician Practitioner Supervision of Hospital and CAH Diagnostic Services.....	22
Hospital OQR Program .....	23
Modification of Reporting Requirements for Cataracts: Improvement in Patient’s Visual Function within 90 Days Following Cataract Surgery (OP-31) (NQF #1536).....	23

---

Requests for Comment on Future Measures.....	23
Overarching Principles for Measuring Health Care Quality Disparities Across CMS Quality Programs.....	24
Aligning OQR Program Patient Encounter Quarters to the Calendar Year.....	24
Hospital OQR Program Validation Requirements.....	25
Overall Hospital Quality Star Rating.....	25
Regulation Text Amendment Regarding Frequency of Publication and Data Used.....	25
Adding VHA Hospitals to Hospital Quality Star Ratings.....	26
Prior Authorization.....	26
RFI on Use of CMS Data to Drive Competition in Health Care .....	26
Appendix – Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program Measures Table.....	28

## Summary of Key Provisions

The proposed rule includes annual updates to the Medicare fee-for-service (FFS) outpatient payment rates as well as regulations that implement new policies. The proposals include policies that will:

- Use CY 2019 cost report data to set the payment rates due to the effect of the COVID-19 public health emergency (PHE)
- Remove 10 services from the inpatient-only (IPO) list and add eight services
- Add a new service category for prior authorization
- Eliminate the 340B payment reduction (not included in proposals due to timing but alternative files were provided)
- Exempt rural sole community hospitals (SCH) from the reduced payment rate for clinic visit services furnished in excepted off-campus provider-based departments (PBDs)
- Establish a permanent 5% cap on wage index decreases
- Outline provider enrollment requirements, quality program requirements, and payment methodologies for rural emergency hospitals (REHs)
- Update the requirements for the Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting (OQR) Program
- Update payment rates and policies for ASCs

The increase in OPPTS spending due only to changes in the 2023 OPPTS proposed rule is estimated to be approximately \$1.79 billion. Considering estimated changes in enrollment, utilization, and case mix for 2023, CMS estimates that OPPTS expenditures, including beneficiary cost-sharing, will be approximately \$86.2 billion, which is approximately \$6.2 billion higher than estimated OPPTS expenditures in 2022.

## CY 2023 Proposed OPPTS Payment Update

CMS will use data from CY 2021 to set rates. However, due to concerns that the normal vintage of cost reports used for rate setting (for CY 2023, 2020 cost reports) is skewed by COVID-19, CMS proposes to use cost report data from the June 2020 Healthcare Report Information System (HCRIS) data set (which only includes cost report data through 2019).

The tables below show the proposed CY 2023 conversion factor compared to CY 2022 and the components of the update factor:

	Final CY 2022	Proposed CY 2023	Percent Change
OPPTS Conversion Factor	\$84.177	\$86.785	+3.10%
OPPTS Conversion Factor (340B alternative)	N/A	\$83.865	-0.37%

Proposed CY 2023 Update Factor Component	Value	Value (340B alternative)
Market Basket (MB) Update	+3.1%	
Affordable Care Act (ACA)–Mandated Productivity	–0.4 percentage points (PPT)	
Wage Index BN Adjustment	+0.10%	
Wage Index 5% Stop Loss BN	–0.05%	
N95 Respirators BN Adjustment	–0.01%	
340B Alternative BN	-	-4.04%
Pass-through Spending/Outlier BN Adjustment	+0.34%	+1.04%
Cancer Hospital BN Adjustment	+0.00%	
<b>Overall Proposed Rate Update</b>	<b>+3.10%</b>	<b>-0.37%</b>

CMS estimates the update to the conversion factor net of the total factor productivity (TFP) will increase payments 2.7% in 2023 (market basket of 3.1% less 0.4% for TFP). Including changes to outlier payments, pass-through payment estimates, and the application of the frontier state wage adjustment, CMS estimates a 2.9% increase in payments between 2022 and 2023. However, this impact estimate does not include the anticipated impact of increasing payments for separately payable Part B drugs acquired under the 340B program to average sales price (ASP) + 6%. As listed above, the overall conversion factor update taking into account the changes anticipated in the final rule to 340B drug reimbursement is -.37%.

CMS notes the following estimated impacts in Table 84 of the proposed rule:

Facility Type	Estimated 2023 Impact (Proposed)	Estimated 2023 Impact (Alternative w/ 340B)
All Hospitals	2.9%	3.6%
Urban – All	2.9%	4.0%
Urban – Pacific Region	3.2%	4.4%
Rural – All	3.2%	2.1%
Rural – Pacific Region	3.0%	3.0%

California estimated impacts provided by CHA DataSuite are noted in the table below; impacts will vary by hospital.



## OPPS CY 2023 Proposed Rule Analysis

CY 2023 Proposed Rule Compared to CY 2022 Final Rule

### California

Impact Analysis	Dollar Impact	% Change
<i>Estimated CY 2022 OPPS Payments</i>	<i>\$5,605,604,700</i>	
Marketbasket Update	\$138,313,300	2.47%
ACA-Mandated Productivity Adjustment	(\$17,846,000)	-0.32%
Budget Neutrality Adjustments	\$17,767,500	0.32%
Wage Index (Wage Data and Reclassification)	\$30,808,200	0.55%
Application of the Imputed Floor	\$0	0.00%
Increasing Bottom Quartile Wage Index Values	\$0	0.00%
Wage Index 5% Stop Loss	\$312,400	0.01%
Change in Rural Add-On	\$0	0.00%
APC Factor/Updates	\$20,543,400	0.37%
<i>Estimated CY 2023 OPPS Payments</i>	<i>\$5,795,503,500</i>	
<b>Total Estimated Change From CY 2022 to CY 2023</b>	<b>\$189,898,800</b>	<b>3.39%</b>

The values shown in the table above do not include the 2.0% sequestration impact to all lines of Medicare payment authorized by Congress through FFY 2031. It is estimated that sequestration will reduce CY 2023 OPPS-specific payments by: \$115,910,100

Source: CHA DataSuite Analysis, August 2022

## Updates Affecting OPSS Payments

### Recalibration of APC Relative Payment Weights

As required by law, CMS must review and revise the ambulatory payment classification (APC) relative payment weights annually. CMS must also revise the APC groups each year to account for drugs and medical devices that no longer qualify for pass-through status, new and deleted Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System/Current Procedural Terminology (HCPCS/CPT) codes, advances in technology, new services, and new cost data. The proposed payment weights and rates for CY 2023 are available in Addenda A and B of the proposed rule on the [CMS website](#).

The table below shows the shift in the number of APCs per category from CY 2022 to CY 2023 (Addendum A):

APC Category	Status Indicator	Final CY 2022	Proposed CY 2023
Pass-Through Drugs and Biologicals	G	100	98
Pass-Through Device Categories	H	14	8
OPD Services Paid through a Comprehensive APC	J1	68	69
Observation Services	J2	1	1
Non-Pass-Through Drugs/Biologicals	K	350	356
Partial Hospitalization	P	2	2
Blood and Blood Products	R	39	40
Procedure or Service, No Multiple Reduction	S	81	81
Procedure or Service, Multiple Reduction Applies	T	29	28

APC Category	Status Indicator	Final CY 2022	Proposed CY 2023
Brachytherapy Sources	U	17	17
Clinic or Emergency Department Visit	V	11	11
New Technology	S/T	112	112
<b>Total</b>		<b>824</b>	<b>823</b>

### *Blood and Blood Products*

For CY 2023, CMS is adopting its proposal to continue its policy to establish payment rates for blood and blood products using a blood-specific cost-to-charge ratios methodology.

### *Brachytherapy Sources*

Since 2010, CMS has used the standard OPPTS payment methodology for brachytherapy sources, with payment rates based on source-specific costs as required by statute. CMS proposes no changes to its brachytherapy policy for 2023. If CMS does not have billing data to set the payment rates, it may use external data to set prices for brachytherapy sources. For 2018 through 2022, CMS used external data to set a payment rate for HCPCS code C2645 (Brachytherapy planar source, palladium-103, per square millimeter) at \$4.69 per mm<sup>2</sup>. CMS has no 2021 claims data for HCPCS code C2645 to set a proposed rate for 2023. For this reason, CMS proposes to continue the rate of \$4.69 per mm<sup>2</sup> for 2023 for HCPCS code C2645.

### *Comprehensive APCs (C-APCs)*

A C-APC covers payment for all Part B services that are related to the primary procedure, including items currently paid under separate fee schedules. The C-APC encompasses diagnostic procedures, lab tests, and treatments that assist in the delivery of the primary procedure; visits and evaluations performed in association with the procedure; coded and un-coded services and supplies used during the service; outpatient department services delivered by therapists as part of the comprehensive service; durable medical equipment as well as the supplies to support that equipment; and any other components reported by HCPCS codes that are provided during the comprehensive service. The costs of blood and blood products **are included** in the C-APCs when they appear on the same claim as those services assigned to a C-APC.

The C-APCs do not include payments for services that are not covered by Medicare Part B, nor those that are not payable under OPPTS such as certain mammography and ambulance services; brachytherapy sources; pass-through drugs and devices; charges for self-administered drugs; certain preventive services; and procedures assigned to a New Technology APC either included on a claim with a “J1” or when packaged into payment for comprehensive observation services assigned to status indicator “J2” when included on a claim with a “J2” indicator.

CMS proposes adding one new C-APC — Level 2 Urology and Related Services (C-APC 5372) — for CY 2023, for a total of 70 C-APCs. A list of the proposed 69 C-APCs for CY 2023 can be found in Table 1 of the proposed rule.

---

In the additional policy and regulatory revisions in response to the COVID-19 PHE interim final rule with comment period (IFC), CMS implemented an exception to the OPPTS C-APC policy to ensure separate payment for new COVID-19 treatments that meet certain criteria. Specifically, CMS will always separately pay and not package into a C-APC any new COVID-19 treatment that meets both of the following criteria:

- The treatment is a Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved (or indicated in the “Criteria for Issuance of Authorization”) drug or biological product (which could include a blood product) authorized to treat COVID-19.
- The emergency use authorization for the drug or biological product must authorize the use of the product in the outpatient setting or not limit its use to the inpatient setting or be approved by the FDA to treat COVID-19 disease and not limit its use to the inpatient setting.

This is in effect from the effective date of the IFC until the end of the pandemic.

#### *Calculation of Composite APC Criteria-Based Costs*

Composite APCs are another type of packaging to provide a single APC payment for groups of services that are typically performed together during a single outpatient encounter. Currently, there are six composite APCs for:

- Mental Health Services (APC 8010)
- Multiple Imaging Services (APCs 8004, 8005, 8006, 8007, and 8008)

For CY 2023, CMS proposes continuing its policy that when the aggregate payment for specified mental health services provided by a hospital to a single beneficiary on a single date of service exceeds the maximum per diem payment rate for partial hospitalization services, those services will continue to instead be paid through composite APC 8010. In addition, the payment rate for composite APC 8010 is proposed to continue to be set to that established for APC 5863, which is the maximum partial hospitalization per diem payment rate for a hospital.

For CY 2023, CMS also proposes continuing its current composite APC payment policies for multiple imaging services from the same family and on the same date. Table 2 in the proposed rule includes the HCPCS codes that are subject to the multiple imaging procedure composite APC policy and their respective families as well as each family’s geometric mean cost.

#### **Changes to Packaged Items and Services**

CMS is not proposing any changes to its packaging policies and separate payment for non-opioid treatment alternatives.

#### **Wage Index Changes**

CMS proposes continuing using a labor share of 60% and the fiscal year inpatient PPS (IPPS) post-reclassified wage index for the OPPTS in CY 2023. In the FFY 2023 IPPS rule, CMS proposed to apply a 5% cap on reductions to a hospital wage index for any reason. CMS proposes adopting this same policy under the OPPTS for CY 2023. As noted in the prior section, CMS proposes



making this change budget neutral, necessitating -0.05% budget neutrality adjustment to the conversion factor.

For non-IPPS hospitals paid under the OPPTS for CY 2023, CMS is proposing to continue its past policies of assigning the wage index that would be applicable if the hospital were paid under the IPPS and allowing the hospital to qualify for the out-migration adjustment.

CMS is proposing a wage index and labor-related share budget neutrality factor of 1.0010 for FFY 2023 to ensure that aggregate payments made under the OPPTS are not greater or less than would otherwise be made if wage adjustments had not changed. CMS is also proposing a separate budget neutrality factor of 0.9995 for the impact of the proposed 5% cap on wage index decreases.

### **Sole Community Hospital (SCH) Adjustment**

For CY 2023, CMS proposes continuing applying a 7.1% payment adjustment for rural SCHs, including essential access community hospitals, for all services and procedures paid under the OPPTS, excluding separately payable drugs and biologicals, devices paid under the pass-through payment policy, and items paid at charges reduced to costs. The adjustment is budget neutral and is applied before calculating outliers and copayments.

Additionally, CMS proposes exempting rural SCHs from being paid the physician fee schedule (PFS)-equivalent rate in an excepted off-campus PBD. CMS is further soliciting comments on whether it would be appropriate to exempt other rural hospitals, such as those with under 100 beds, from this policy.

Exempting rural SCHs from this policy would result in an unadjusted payment for a clinic visit (G0463) in CY 2023 of approximately \$131, with an approximate average copayment of \$26 for the beneficiary. This compares to a proposed PFS-equivalent rate of \$52, with an approximate average copayment of \$10. CMS estimates that exempting rural SCHs from this policy will increase OPPTS spending by approximately \$75 million in 2023.

### **Cancer Hospital Adjustment**

CMS will continue providing payment increases to the 11 exempt cancer hospitals. CMS does this by providing a payment adjustment such that the cancer hospital's target payment-to-cost ratio (PCR) after the additional payments is equal to the weighted average PCR for the other OPPTS hospitals (and thus the adjustment was budget neutral).

The cancer hospital adjustment is applied at cost report settlement rather than on a claim-by-claim basis. Rather than using the latest available cost reports that would include data that span the COVID-19 PHE, CMS proposes to continue using the same target PCR it used for 2021 and 2022. Under the proposed policy for CY 2023, the target PCR would remain at 0.89.

### **Outpatient Outlier Payments**

To maintain total outlier payments at 1% of total OPPTS payments, CMS is using CY 2021 claims to calculate a CY 2023 outlier fixed-dollar threshold of \$8,350. This is a 35.2% increase compared to the current threshold of \$6,175. Outlier payments will continue to be paid at 50% of the

amount by which the hospital's cost exceeds 1.75 times the APC payment amount when both the 1.75 multiplier threshold and the fixed-dollar threshold are met.

### New Technology APCs

Currently, there are 52 levels of New Technology APC groups with two parallel status indicators: one set with a status indicator of "S" (S = Significant procedure, not discounted when multiple) and the other set with a status indicator of "T" (T = Significant procedure, multiple reduction applies). The New Technology APC levels range from the cost band assigned to APC 1491 (New Technology – Level 1A (\$0 - \$10)) through the highest cost band assigned to APC 1908 (New Technology – Level 52 (\$145,001 - \$160,000)). Payment for each APC is made at the mid-point of the APC's assigned cost band.

For CY 2023, CMS proposes continuing the universal low-volume APC payment methodology for services assigned to New Technology APCs with fewer than 100 claims. This policy applies to clinical APCs and brachytherapy APCs in addition to New Technology APCs and uses the highest of the geometric mean, arithmetic mean, or median, based on up to four years of claims data to set the payment rate for the APC.

### Pass-Through Payments for Devices

There are currently 11 device categories eligible for pass-through payment. Separate payment for HCPCS code C1823 under the equitable adjustment authority will end on December 31, 2022. Table 30 (reproduced below) lists the devices and their pass-through expiration.

Expiration of Pass-Through Payments for Certain Devices			
HCPCS Codes	Long Descriptor	Effective Date	Pass-Through Expiration Date
C1823	Generator, neurostimulator (implantable), nonrechargeable, with transvenous sensing and stimulation leads	1/1/2019	12/31/2022*
C1824	Generator, cardiac contractility modulation (implantable)	1/1/2020	12/31/2022
C1982	Catheter, pressure-generating, one-way valve, intermittently occlusive	1/1/2020	12/31/2022
C1839	Iris prosthesis	1/1/2020	12/31/2022
C1734	Orthopedic/device/drug matrix for opposing bone-to-bone or soft tissue-to-bone (implantable)	1/1/2020	12/31/2022
C2596	Probe, image-guided, robotic, waterjet ablation	1/1/2020	12/31/2022
C1748	Endoscope, single use (that is, disposable), upper GI, imaging/illumination device (insertable)	7/1/2020	6/30/2023
C1052	Hemostatic agent, gastrointestinal, topical	1/1/2021	12/31/2023
C1062	Intravertebral body fracture augmentation with implant	1/1/2021	12/31/2023

Expiration of Pass-Through Payments for Certain Devices			
HCPCS Codes	Long Descriptor	Effective Date	Pass-Through Expiration Date
C1825	Generator, neurostimulator (implantable) nonrechargeable with carotid sinus baroreceptor simulation lead(S)	1/1/2021	12/1/2023
C1761	Catheter, transluminal intravascular lithotripsy, coronary	7/1/2021	6/30/2024

\*CMS used its equitable adjustment authority to provide separate payment for C1823 for four quarters of 2022 for C1823 whose pass-through payment status expired on December 31, 2021. Adjusted separate payment for HCPCS code C1823 will end on December 31, 2022.

### New Device Pass-Through Applications

CMS has received nine applications for device pass-through payments since the March 1, 2022, quarterly deadline, one of which was already approved:

- Aprevo™ Intervertebral Body Fusion Device (approved)
- MicroTransponder® ViviStim® Paired Vagus Nerve Stimulation (VNS) System (Vivistim® System)
- The BrainScope TBI (model: Ahead 500)
- NavSlim™ and NavPencil
- SmartClip™
- Evoke® Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS) System
- Pathfinder® Endoscope Overtube
- The Ureterol

### Device-Intensive Procedures

#### *Device-Intensive Procedure Policy for 2019 and Subsequent Years*

Device-intensive APCs are procedures that require the implantation of a device and are assigned an individual HCPCS code-level device offset of more than 30% of the procedure's mean cost, regardless of APC assignment.

For CY 2023, consistent with CMS' broader proposal to use 2021 claims for 2023 OPPTS/ASC rate-setting purposes, CMS proposes to use 2021 claims information for determining device offset percentages and assigning device-intensive status.

The full listing of proposed 2023 device-intensive procedures is provided in [Addendum P](#).

#### *Device Edit Policy*

For CY 2023, CMS did not propose any changes to the device edit policy.

#### *Adjustment to OPPTS Payment for No Cost/Full Credit and Partial Credit Devices*

For outpatient services that include certain medical devices, CMS reduces the APC payment if the hospital received a credit from the manufacturer. The offset can be 100% of the device amount when a hospital attains the device at no cost or receives a full credit from the

manufacturer, or 50% when a hospital receives partial credit of 50% or more. For CY 2023, CMS is not making any major changes to the no cost/full credit and partial credit device policies.

### Payment Changes for Drugs, Biologicals, and Radiopharmaceuticals

CMS pays for drugs and biologicals that do not have pass-through status in one of two ways: either packaged into the APC for the associated service or assigned to their own APC and paid separately. The determination is based on the packaging threshold. CMS allows for a quarterly expiration of pass-through payment status of drugs and biologicals newly approved to grant a pass-through period as close to three full years as possible, and to eliminate the variability of the pass-through payment eligibility period without exceeding the statutory three-year limit.

For CY 2023, CMS proposes a packaging threshold of \$135 (as proposed). Drugs, biologicals, and radiopharmaceuticals that are above the \$135 threshold are paid separately, using individual APCs, and those below the threshold are packaged; the baseline payment rate for CY 2025 is the ASP + 6%.

CMS proposes to continue paying for separately payable drugs and biological products that do not have pass-through status and are not acquired under the 340B program at wholesale acquisition cost (WAC) + 3%, instead of WAC + 6%.

For CY 2023, CMS proposes to continue paying for therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals with pass-through payments status as well as blood clotting factors, based on ASP + 6%. If ASP data are not available, payment instead will be made based on WAC + 3%, or 95% of average wholesale price (AWP) if WAC data are also not available.

Lastly, CMS is proposing that the pass-through status expire by December 31, 2022, for 32 drugs and biologicals listed in Table 39 of the proposed rule; by December 31, 2023, for 43 drugs and biologicals listed in Table 40 of the proposed rule; and is proposing to continue/establish pass-through status in CY 2023 to 32 others shown in Table 41 of the proposed rule.

In the CY 2022 OPPTS final rule, CMS finalized a proposal to provide up to four quarters of separate payment for 27 drugs and biologicals and one device category whose pass-through payment status will expire between December 31, 2021, and September 30, 2022, due to the use of CY 2019 claims data rather than CY 2020 claims data in CY 2022 rate setting. In this rule, CMS is proposing to resume the regular update process of using claims data from two years prior to the year of rate setting. In this case, CMS would use CY 2021 claims and not provide additional quarters of separate payment for any device category whose pass-through payment status will expire between December 31, 2022, and September 30, 2023.

### OPPTS Payment Methodology for 340B-Purchased Drugs

Currently, CMS pays a reduced rate of ASP –22.5% of the product's ASP, rather than ASP + 6% for non-pass-through for separately payable drugs and biosimilar biological products, if purchased under the 340B program. This includes those drugs (other than vaccines and drugs on pass-through payment status) provided at non-excepted off-campus PBDs.

Under the OPPTS, payment rates for drugs are typically based on their average acquisition cost. The 340B-acquired drug payment policies have been involved in a continuing lawsuit, *American Hospital Association v. Becerra*. In December 2018, the district court concluded that CMS exceeded its authority with its large reduction to Medicare payments for CY 2018 and CY 2019 for drugs acquired through the 340B program unless the Secretary obtained drug acquisition cost survey data from hospitals proving otherwise. CMS disagreed and appealed the decision, and on July 31, 2020, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the district court decision. However, on July 15, 2022, the Supreme Court reversed the appeals court decision, stating that payment rates for drugs and biologicals may not vary among groups of hospitals in the absence of a survey of hospitals' acquisition cost.

CMS lacked the necessary time to incorporate adjustments to the proposed payment rates and budget neutrality calculations to account for the Supreme Court's decision before issuing this proposed rule and, therefore, is proposing to continue to pay ASP – 22.5% for drugs and biologicals acquired under the 340B program for CY 2023.

However, as CMS fully anticipates applying a rate of ASP + 6% in the final rule, CMS provided alternative 340B supporting files that include the impacts of removing the 340B payment policy for CY 2023. CMS estimates that the payment differential would be an increase of \$1.96 billion and, therefore, would apply a budget-neutral factor of 0.9596 to the OPPTS conversion factor, for a revised conversion factor of \$83.279.

CMS has not yet decided how to apply the Supreme Court's decision to prior cost years. CMS is requesting comments on the best way potential remedies for CYs 2018-22.

The 340B adjustment also applies to those drugs for which pricing is determined based on WAC and AWP. With the formal proposal to continue ASP drug reductions, CMS is also proposing to continue paying for drugs acquired under WAC pricing at WAC – 22.5%, while those acquired under AWP pricing be paid at 69.46% of AWP. Similar to ASP drug reductions, this may change in the final rule.

As in previous years, rural SCHs, children's hospitals, and PPS-exempt cancer hospitals are exempt from the 340B adjustment and receive drug payments based on ASP + 6%. Critical access hospitals (CAHs) are exempt as well.

Modifiers "JG" and "TB" are still proposed to apply. Modifier "JG" is used by non-exempt hospitals to report separately payable drugs that were acquired through the 340B program, and thus paid the reduced rate. Modifier "TB" is used by hospitals **exempt** from the 340B payment adjustment to report separately payable drugs that were acquired through the 340B program.

#### *High/Low-Cost Threshold for Packaged Skin Substitutes*

CMS divides skin substitutes into a *high-cost* group and a *low-cost* group in terms of packaging. CMS assigns skin substitutes with a geometric mean unit cost (MUC) or a products per day cost (PDC) that exceeds either the MUC threshold or the PDC threshold to the *high-cost* group.

CMS is proposing to continue to assign those skin substitutes that did not exceed the thresholds but were assigned to the high-cost group in CY 2022 to the high-cost group in CY 2023 as well. CMS is also proposing to assign those with pass-through payment status to the high-cost category.

In the CY 2023 PFS proposed rule, there is a proposal to treat all skin substitute products consistently across health care settings as incident-to supplies. If finalized, manufacturers would no longer report ASPs for skin substitute products starting in CY 2023 and, therefore, CMS would no longer be able to use ASP + 6% for pricing a graft skin substitute product to determine whether it should be assigned to the high-cost or low-cost group. Since manufacturers would continue to report WAC and AWP, CMS would instead use its alternative process (WAC + 3% or 95% of AWP) to assign groups when cost data are not available.

### Hospital Outpatient Visits

For off-campus PBDs exempted from being paid a PFS-equivalent rate, CMS is continuing to pay 40% of the full OPPTS rates. As discussed above, CMS proposes to exempt rural SCHs from this policy and seeks comments on other types of providers it should exempt.

### Inpatient-Only (IPO) List

The IPO list specifies services/procedures that Medicare will pay only when provided in an inpatient setting. For CY 2023, CMS is proposing to remove the following services from the IPO list:

- CPT 16036: Escharotomy; each additional incision (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
- CPT 22632: Arthrodesis, posterior interbody technique, including laminectomy and/or discectomy to prepare interspace (other than for decompression), single interspace; each additional interspace (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
- CPT 21141: Reconstruction midface, lefort i; single piece, segment movement in any direction (e.g., for long face syndrome), without bone graft
- CPT 21142: Reconstruction midface, lefort i; two pieces, segment movement in any direction, without bone graft
- CPT 21143: Reconstruction midface, lefort i; three or more pieces, segment movement in any direction, without bone graft
- CPT 21194: Reconstruction of mandibular rami, horizontal, vertical, c, or l osteotomy; with bone graft (includes obtaining graft)
- CPT 21196: Reconstruction of mandibular rami and/or body, sagittal split; with internal rigid fixation
- CPT 21347: Open treatment of nasomaxillary complex fracture (lefort ii type); requiring multiple open approaches)
- CPT 21366: Open treatment of complicated (e.g., comminuted or involving cranial nerve foramina) fracture(s)
  - of malar area, including zygomatic arch and malar tripod; with bone grafting (includes obtaining graft)
- CPT 21422: Open treatment of palatal or maxillary fracture (lefort i type)

CMS is also proposing to add the following eight services to the IPO list:

- CPT 157X1: Implantation of absorbable mesh or other prosthesis for delayed closure of defect(s) (i.e., external genitalia, perineum, abdominal wall) due to soft tissue infection or trauma
- CPT 228XX: Total disc arthroplasty (artificial disc), anterior approach, including discectomy to prepare interspace (other than for decompression); second interspace, lumbar (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
- CPT 49X06: Repair of anterior abdominal hernia(s) (i.e., epigastric, incisional, ventral, umbilical, spigelian), any approach (i.e., open, laparoscopic, robotic), initial, including placement of mesh or other prosthesis when performed, total length of defect(s); greater than 10 cm, incarcerated or strangulated
- CPT 49X10: Repair of anterior abdominal hernia(s) (i.e., epigastric, incisional, ventral, umbilical, spigelian), any approach (i.e., open, laparoscopic, robotic), recurrent, including placement of mesh or other prosthesis when performed, total length of defect(s); three cm to 10 cm, incarcerated or strangulated
- CPT 49X11: Repair of anterior abdominal hernia(s) (i.e., epigastric, incisional, ventral, umbilical, spigelian), any approach (i.e., open, laparoscopic, robotic), recurrent, including placement of mesh or other prosthesis when performed, total length of defect(s); greater than 10 cm, reducible
- CPT 49X12: Repair of anterior abdominal hernia(s) (i.e., epigastric, incisional, ventral, umbilical, spigelian), any approach (i.e., open, laparoscopic, robotic), recurrent, including placement of mesh or other prosthesis when performed, total length of defect(s); greater than 10 cm, incarcerated or strangulated
- CPT 49X13: Repair of parastomal hernia, any approach (i.e., open, laparoscopic, robotic), initial or recurrent, including placement of mesh or other prosthesis, when performed; reducible
- CPT 49X14: Repair of parastomal hernia, any approach (i.e., open, laparoscopic, robotic), initial or recurrent, including placement of mesh or other prosthesis, when performed; incarcerated or strangulated

### OPPS Payment for Software as a Service

For many services paid under the OPPS, payment for analytics that are performed after the main procedure are packaged into payment for the primary service. Over the past few years, several codes have been displayed that describe software as a service procedure.

CMS believes that the costs associated with the add-on codes exceed the costs of the imaging service with which they would be billed and, therefore, the add-on codes should be paid separately. CMS is thus proposing to not recognize the software as service CPT codes and instead establish HCPCS codes to describe the services. Additionally, the proposed rule requests comment on payment approaches for these services in the areas listed below.

- How to identify services that should be separately recognized as an analysis distinct from both the underlying imaging test or the professional service paid under the PFS
- How to identify costs associated with these kinds of services



- How these services might be available and paid for in other settings (physician offices, for example)
- How to consider payment strategies for these services across settings of care

### Payment Adjustments: Domestic NIOSH-Approved Surgical N95 Respirator Masks

In the FFY 2023 IPPS proposed rule, CMS requested comment on potential payment adjustments for wholly domestically made National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health (NIOSH)-approved surgical N95 respirators for IPPS and OPPTS to offset costs incurred by hospitals when acquiring such equipment.

CMS proposes to make a payment adjustment under the OPPTS and IPPS for the additional resource costs that hospitals face in procuring domestic NIOSH-approved surgical N95 respirators for cost reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2023. For the IPPS, the Secretary would make the adjustment in a non-budget neutral manner (e.g., no reduction in the IPPS base operating rate because of these additional payments). For the OPPTS, the Secretary is required by statute to make the payments in a budget-neutral manner, necessitating a reduction in the OPPTS conversion factor.

This adjustment would be a biweekly interim lump-sum payment to the hospital and would be reconciled at cost report settlement. The payments would initially be based on the estimated difference in a hospital's reasonable costs to purchase domestic NIOSH-approved surgical N95 respirators compared to non-domestic respirators. In future years, the payment would be based on information from the prior year's surgical N95 supplemental cost reporting form (which would be a new cost reporting form collected from hospitals). Payment amounts would be determined by the Medicare administrative contractor.

### Organ Acquisition Payment

In the CY 2022 IPPS proposed rule, CMS made several proposals regarding transplant hospitals (THs) and hospital-based organ procurement organizations (HOPOs). Based on public comment to those proposals and in order to improve payment accuracy and lower the costs to procure and provide research organs, CMS is proposing to require that THs/OPOs exclude organs used for research from the numerator (Medicare usable organs) and the denominator (total usable organs) of the calculation used to determine Medicare's share of acquisition costs on the Medicare cost report. THs and OPOs would also be required to deduct the cost incurred in procuring an organ for research from their total organ acquisition costs to ensure research organ procurement costs are not allocated across all transplantable organs and that Medicare is not paying for non-allowable research activities. With this, CMS also proposes that the determination of an organ being unusable can be made by any surgeon, rather than just the excising surgeon.

In addition, CMS is proposing that organ acquisition costs include certain hospital costs incurred for services provided to deceased donors to increase organ procurement and promote equity.



Also, CMS clarifies that *“when a TH receives an organ from an OPO or other TH, the receiving TH must exclude from its accumulated cost statistic the cost associated with these organs because these costs already include A&G costs.”*

Lastly, CMS asks for comments on an alternative methodology for counting organs for Medicare’s share of organ acquisition costs, Independent Organ Procurement Organization (IOPO) kidney standardized acquisition charges, and reconciliation of all organs for IOPOs. In the RFI related to an alternative methodology for counting organs to calculate Medicare’s share of organ acquisition costs, CMS seeks information on an alternative methodology for counting organs that will not require THs and OPOs to track exported organs.

However, it would require TH/OPOs to report only organs transplanted into Medicare beneficiaries for purposes of calculating Medicare’s share of organ acquisition costs. CMS would exclude organs that a TH furnishes to other THs or OPOs from its Medicare share fraction, in both the numerator (Medicare usable organs) and denominator (total usable organs), and require revenue offsets against total organ acquisition costs for these organs. Such a methodology would result in an apportionment of costs and redistribution of reasonable organ acquisition costs to only organs transplanted into Medicare beneficiaries within the recipient TH, but it would not require THs/OPOs to track organs they furnish to other THs and OPOs.

CMS requests comment on 13 specific questions that are intended to solicit information from THs and OPOs on the number and types of organs they acquire; age of the donors; insurance status of the potential recipients; income and revenue streams associated with organ acquisition; the financial impact of the alternative Medicare share calculation; whether other payers make payment for organ acquisition costs; implementation issues associated with the alternative Medicare share calculation; and other issues.

## Rural Emergency Hospitals

The Consolidated Appropriations (CAA) Act of 2021 established rural emergency hospitals (REHs) as a new provider type beginning January 1, 2023, that provides emergency department (ED) services, observation care, and potentially other medical and health services on an outpatient basis. REHs must not provide acute care inpatient services, with the exception of skilled-nursing facility (SNF) services in a distinct unit.

CAHs and rural hospitals with fewer than 50 beds are eligible to convert to an REH. The REH also must meet the following requirements:

- *“An annual per patient average of 24 hours or less in the REH*
- *Staff training and certification requirements established by the Secretary*
- *Emergency services CoPs applicable to CAHs*
- *Hospital emergency department CoPs determined applicable by the Secretary*
- *The applicable SNF requirements (if the REH includes a distinct part SNF)*
- *A transfer agreement with a level I or level II trauma center*
- *Any other requirements the Secretary finds necessary in the interest of the health and safety of individuals who are furnished REH services”*

---

CMS issued a separate proposed rule establishing the conditions of participation (CoPs) for REHs, along with revisions to certain CoPs for CAHs. A detailed summary of the proposed rule is available on [CHA's website](#). CMS intends to finalize these proposals as part of the CY 2023 OPPTS final rule.

### **REH Payment**

REHs are proposed to be paid for all covered outpatient department (OPD) services at the OPPTS rate + 5%. Copayments will be calculated based on the OPPTS rate, excluding the 5% increase. CMS is proposing that REHs would utilize the OPPTS claims processing system to process REH payments, with an REH-specific payment flag.

Services that are not covered OPD services would be paid at the same rate the service would be paid if performed in an HOPD and paid under a fee schedule other than the OPPTS, with no 5% increase. Post-hospital extended care services provided by an REH would receive payment through the SNF PPS without a 5% increase. Additionally, REHs would not be subject to the reduced rate for services furnished by off-campus PBDs and would instead be paid at OPPTS + 5% for these services.

In addition, REHs would receive a payment that is paid out monthly and determined based on the excess of the total amount paid to all CAHs in CY 2019 over the estimated total amount that would have been paid to CAHs in CY 2019 if payment were made for inpatient, outpatient, and SNF services under the PPS (both proposed to be calculated using CAH claims data). That value is divided by the number of CAHs (also proposed to be determined using claims data). CMS proposes that the monthly facility payment for REHs for 2023 would be \$268,294. This amount would be increased in subsequent years by the hospital market basket. REHs will be required to maintain detailed information as to how the payments are used.

### **Physician Self-Referral Law**

As REHs are required by CoPs to furnish radiology and certain imaging services, clinical laboratory services, and outpatient prescription drugs, they would be subject to the physician self-referral law. This law *“prohibit[s] a physician from making a referral for designation health services to the REH if the physician (or an immediate family member of the physician) has a financial relationship”* unless an exception is made. CMS is proposing a new exception and revisions to existing exceptions to the law for REHs when requirements to the exception are satisfied, in order to avoid inhibiting access to medically necessary designated health services.

### **REH Quality Reporting Program**

The CAA requires that the Secretary establish quality reporting requirements for REHs, including at least quarterly data submission and public reporting of performance data. While CMS is deferring proposals related to quality measure specifications and quality reporting requirements for future rulemaking, it proposes administrative requirements and seeks comments on future measures for consideration.

### *Administrative Requirements*

CMS proposes that REHs that want to participate in the REH Quality Reporting (REHQR) Program must register for an account to use the agency's Hospital Quality Reporting (HQR)

secure portal to submit data and must designate a security official for the account. Hospitals converting to REH status that already have HQR access may register by updating their profiles using their new REH CMS certification number.

#### *Request for Comment on Potential REHQR Program Measures*

CMS seeks comments on several specific potential measures for the REHQR Program. CMS notes that the potential measures are already reported under the outpatient OQR Program or Medicare Beneficiary Quality Improvement Project.

- OP-2: Fibrinolytic Therapy Received Within 30 Minutes of ED Arrival
- OP-3: Median Time to Transfer to Another Facility for Acute Coronary Intervention
- OP-4: Aspirin on Arrival
- OP-18: Median Time from ED Arrival to ED Departure for Discharged ED Patients
- OP-20: Door to Diagnostic Evaluation by a Qualified Medical Professional
- OP-22: Left Without Being Seen
- Emergency Department Transfer Communications (EDTC)
- OP-10: Abdomen Computed Tomography (CT) – Use of Contrast Material
- OP-32: Facility 7-Day Risk-Standardized Hospital Visit Rate after Outpatient Colonoscopy (Display page 643)

CMS also requests comment on additional topics for quality measurement and several other topics including telehealth, maternal health, mental health, ED services, health equity, and addressing low volume concerns in quality measurement.

#### **Partial Hospitalization Program Services**

Partial hospitalization programs (PHPs) are intensive outpatient psychiatric programs that provide outpatient services in place of inpatient psychiatric care. PHP services may be provided in either a hospital outpatient setting or a freestanding community mental health center (CMHC). PHP providers are paid on a per diem basis with payment rates calculated using CMHC-specific or hospital-specific data. The table below compares the final CY 2022 and proposed CY 2023 PHP payment rates:

	<b>Final Payment Rate 2022</b>	<b>Proposed Payment Rate 2023</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
APC 5853: Partial Hospitalization (3+ services) for CMHCs	\$142.70	\$130.54	-8.5%
APC 5863: Partial Hospitalization (3+ services) for Hospital-Based PHPs	\$265.97	\$261.73	-1.6%

For CY 2023, CMS proposes to use its established policies to calculate the PHP APC per diem payment rates for CMHCs and hospital-based PHP providers based on geometric mean per diem costs using the most recent claims and cost data for each provider type, with some modifications. CMS proposes to use the geometric mean per diem cost of \$131.71 for CMHCs and geometric

mean per diem cost of \$264.06 as the basis for developing the 2023 hospital-based APC per diem rate.

Consistent with CMS' proposal to use CY 2019 cost data in rate setting for the OPPTS (which was also used for CY 2022), CMS is proposing to calculate the CMHC and hospital-based PHP geometric mean per diem costs using CY 2021 claims data and cost report data from the June 2020 HCRIS data set (the same cost data that were used for CY 2022).

CMS also proposes to exclude data from nonstandard cost center lines that do not correspond to the cost center number for CY 2023 rate setting due to the concerns about significant changes in APC geometric mean costs if those lines were included. CMS seeks comments on whether there are any specific concerns with the accuracy of data from these nonstandard cost center lines that it should consider before including them in future OPPTS rate setting.

CMS will continue to make outlier payments to CMHCs for 50% of the amount by which the cost for the PHP service exceeds 3.4 times the highest CMHC PHP APC payment rate implemented for that calendar year. As in prior years, CMS will apply an 8% outlier payment cap to the CMHC's total per diem payments.

### **Remote Non-PHP Mental Health Services After the COVID-19 PHE**

CMS reminds providers that under its [interim final rule](#) (CMS-1744-IFC), hospital and CMHC staff may furnish certain PHP services, incident to a physician's services, to beneficiaries in temporary expansion locations (including the beneficiary's home) as long as the location meets CoP that are not waived for the duration of the COVID-19 PHE. These services can be furnished using telecommunications technology if the beneficiary is registered as an outpatient.

However, CMS notes that all other PHP requirements are unchanged and still in effect, including that all services furnished under the PHP still (1) require an order by a physician, (2) must be supervised by a physician, (3) must be certified by a physician, and (4) must be furnished in accordance with coding requirements by a clinical staff member working within his or her scope of practice. CMS also notes that the longstanding requirements for documentation in the medical record of the reason for the visit and the substance of the visit still apply.

In addition, while elsewhere in the proposed rule CMS proposes policies to allow for payment of certain remote mental health services furnished by clinical staff of a hospital (described below), CMS clarifies that PHP services will not be eligible to be provided remotely using communications technology after the end of the PHE. CMS also clarifies that PHP patients could receive non-PHP mental health services from a hospital remotely, but these would not count as PHP services.

Finally, CMS includes an RFI on the use of remote mental health services for PHP patients during the COVID-19 PHE, as well as the potential need for PHP services in the future among PHP patients who receive care from CMHCs and HOPDs. Specifically, CMS seeks comments in response to the following questions:

- How have CMHCs and HOPDs used the flexibilities allowing the provision of remote PHP services and incorporated remote PHP services into their operations during the COVID-19 PHE?
- What are the needs and circumstances in which remote PHP services have most often been used? What situations and patient populations have these flexibilities best served? How have these needs, circumstances, and patient populations differed between HOPDs and CMHCs?
- What, if any, barriers would there be to access to remote mental health services for PHP patients of a CMHC? What, if any, possible pathways do commenters believe might exist to minimize these barriers, while taking into consideration section 1861(ff)(3)(A) of the Act?

### Mental Health Services Furnished to Patients in their Homes

For the duration of the COVID-19 PHE, CMS waived certain requirements that allowed patients to receive mental health services in their homes using communications technology. In order to avoid negative impacts on access at the end of the PHE, CMS proposes payment for certain services provided for the purposes of diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a mental health disorder performed remotely by clinical staff of a hospital to a beneficiary in their home under the OPPTS.

Specifically, CMS proposes to create OPPTS-specific coding for these services, the descriptions of which specify that a beneficiary must be in their home, and that there is no associated professional service billed under the Medicare PFS. All hospital staff performing these services must be licensed to furnish these services and must be physically located in the hospital while furnishing these services for purposes of meeting the general supervision requirements for being furnished in the hospital or CAH.

CMS proposes to create codes CXX78 for 15 to 29 minutes of mental health services provided by outpatient hospital staff to a patient located remotely in the home via telecommunications technology. Code CXX79 would be for 30 to 60 minutes of service, and code CXX80 would be for each additional 15 minutes service beyond 60 minutes. CMS uses the PFS facility payment rates for CPT codes 96158 (health behavior intervention, individual, face-to-face; initial 30 minutes) and 96159 (health behavior intervention, individual, face-to-face; each additional 15 minutes) as comparators for assigning CXX78 and CXX79 to APCs.

As these codes pay approximately \$60 and \$20 respectively, CMS proposes assigning codes CXX78 and CXX79 to APC 5822 (Level 2 Health and Behavior Services) and APC 5821 (Level 1 Health and Behavior Services), respectively, which have proposed payments of \$77 and \$30. CXX80 is an add-on code, so payment would be packaged, and the code would not be assigned to an APC. Although CMS describes these services as being payable under the OPPTS, they would be applicable to CAHs, even though CAHs are not paid under the OPPTS.

CMS also proposes to require that the beneficiary receive an in-person visit within six months prior to the first time a mental health service is provided remotely, and that there must be an in-person visit within 12 months of each mental health service furnished remotely by the hospital clinical staff. CMS would permit exceptions to the later requirement if the hospital clinical staff

member and the beneficiary agree that the risks and burdens of an in-person service outweigh the benefits, which must be documented in the medical record.

CMS also proposes to require the hospital clinical staff to use telecommunications systems that include audio and video equipment permitting two-way, real-time interactive communications. However, CMS proposes to allow audio-only communications depending on an individual patient's technological limitations, abilities, or preferences.

### Comment Solicitation on Intensive Outpatient Mental Health Treatment

CMS seeks comments on whether services for intensive outpatient mental health treatment, including substance use disorder treatment furnished by intensive outpatient programs, is described by the existing CPT codes paid under the OPPTS, or whether there are gaps in coding that limit access to needed levels of care. In addition, CMS is interested in information about intensive outpatient program services. This includes the settings of care where these services are furnished, the range of services offered, and who furnishes the services.

### Remote Direct Supervision of Cardiac and Pulmonary Rehabilitation Services

Cardiac, intensive cardiac, and pulmonary rehabilitation services can be provided via telehealth under the PFS until December 31, 2023. Until 151 days after the end of the COVID-19 PHE, these services may originate from a patient's home in any area of the country, and the physician supervision of these services may take place via interactive telecommunications systems including audio only. On or after the 152nd day after the end of the PHE, these services must originate from a health care setting and a rural area to be paid via telehealth under the PFS until December 31, 2023. After that time, these services cannot be provided via telehealth.

Under current OPPTS policy, cardiac, intensive cardiac, and pulmonary rehabilitation services may be provided in the hospital with the physician direct supervision being provided to a patient via a virtual presence. The virtual supervision policy will end with the conclusion of the COVID-19 PHE. After that time, the physician must be immediately available for the direct supervision requirement to be met for the hospital to be paid for the service. CMS seeks comments on whether these policies should be continued through the end of CY 2023 and if there are safety and/or quality of care concerns with adopting this policy beyond the end of the PHE.

### Nonphysician Practitioner Supervision of Hospital and CAH Diagnostic Services

The CY 2021 PFS final rule made permanent certain changes to supervision requirements for diagnostic services, initially implemented for the COVID-19 PHE. Specifically, CMS allowed diagnostic tests furnished in OPDs to also be supervised by non-physician practitioners (NPPs) to the extent they are authorized under their scope of practice and applicable state law. To address regulatory inconsistencies, CMS proposes to modify 42 CFR § 410.27 and 410.28 to include NPPs as supervising practitioners. This is in addition to physicians for diagnostic and therapeutic services furnished under personal or direct supervision to the extent that they are authorized to do so under their scope of practice and applicable state law.

## Hospital OQR Program

The hospital OQR Program is mandated by law; hospitals that do not successfully participate are subject to a 2 percentage point reduction to the OPPTS market basket update for the applicable year. CMS [posts the list](#) of individual hospitals meeting or failing to meet OQR reporting requirements. For the CY 2022 payment determination, 3,268 of 3,298 hospitals (99%) met all reporting requirements — including data submission — while 30 failed to do so. CAHs may choose but are not required to report OQR measures. For CY 2022, 1,291 of 1,354 (95%) CAHs reported data, while 63 opted not to submit.

CMS proposes minor changes to the OQR, including modifying reporting requirements for one measure to allow for voluntary reporting, aligning the OQR Program's encounter quarters for chart-abstracted measures to the calendar year, and adding a targeting criterion for use in selecting hospitals for data validation.

CMS proposes no changes to previously finalized OQR Program policies for measure selection, retention, and removal; data submission via the CMS web-based tool, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Healthcare Safety Network tool; the Outpatient and Ambulatory Surgery Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Survey-Based Measures (OP-37a-e); electronic clinical quality measures (eCQMs); population and sampling requirements; the educational review and correction process for chart-abstracted measures; reconsideration and appeals procedures; public display of quality measures; and requirements for participation in and withdrawal from the OQR Program. A table in the appendix of this summary shows the previously and newly adopted OQR Program measures for payment determinations 2021 through 2026.

### **Modification of Reporting Requirements for Cataracts: Improvement in Patient's Visual Function within 90 Days Following Cataract Surgery (OP-31) (NQF #1536)**

In response to ongoing stakeholder concerns with the burden of reporting this measure, as well as ongoing staffing and supply shortages, CMS proposes to change the reporting status of the Cataracts: Improvement in Patient's Visual Function within 90 Days Following Cataract Surgery (OP-31) (NQF #1536) measure from mandatory to voluntary beginning with the CY 2025 reporting period/CY 2027 payment determination and for subsequent years. The measure requires collection of visual function surveys from patients both preoperatively and postoperatively. CMS emphasizes that its status change proposal in this rule does not change the measure's voluntary status as previously finalized for CY 2023 and CY 2024 reporting, and states that it will revisit mandatory reporting of this measure in the future.

### **Requests for Comment on Future Measures**

CMS requests comments on the potential inclusion of a procedural volume measure in the Hospital OQR Program, to be accomplished either by re-adopting the Hospital Outpatient Volume on Selected Outpatient Surgical Procedures (OP-26) measure or adopting another volume indicator. CMS notes the continuing shift of a large number and wide range of procedure types from the inpatient to outpatient setting (e.g., percutaneous coronary intervention, lower extremity total joint replacement), and believes that this trend supports the importance of tracking outpatient procedural volumes. CMS seeks comments on several specific topics:



- The usefulness of including a volume indicator in the Hospital OQR Program measure set and publicly reporting volume data
- Input on the mechanism of volume data collection and submission, including anticipated barriers to data collection and submission and potential solutions
- Considerations for designing a volume indicator to reduce collection burden and improve data accuracy
- Potential reporting of volume by procedure type, instead of total surgical procedure volume data for select categories, and which procedures would benefit from volume reporting
- The usefulness of Medicare vs. non-Medicare reporting vs. other or additional categories for reporting

### Overarching Principles for Measuring Health Care Quality Disparities Across CMS Quality Programs

CMS references its RFI included in the FFY 2023 IPPS proposed rule, which sought comments on health equity in five key areas across all CMS quality programs. CMS refers readers to the IPPS proposed rule. CHA provided comments in response to this RFI in its FFY 2023 IPPS proposed rule [comment letter](#).

### Aligning OQR Program Patient Encounter Quarters to the Calendar Year

CMS proposes to align the patient encounter quarters for the OQR Program's chart-abstracted measures with the calendar year. Under the proposal, all four quarters would be based on the calendar year that is two years prior to the applicable payment determination year. CMS would implement these changes beginning with the CY 2023 payment year. The table below provides the applicable dates for current and future years.

<b>OQR PATIENT ENCOUNTER QUARTERS AND DATA SUBMISSION DEADLINES</b>	
<b>Encounter Quarter</b>	<b>Data Submission Deadline*</b>
<b>CY 2024 – Current Methodology – Previously Finalized</b>	
Q2 2022 (April 1-June 30)	11/1/2022
Q3 2022 (July 1-September 30)	2/1/2023
Q4 2022 (October 1-December 31)	5/1/2023
Q1 2023 (January 1-March 31)	8/1/2023
<b>CY 2025 – Transition Year Methodology – Proposed</b>	
Q2 2023 (April 1-June 30)	11/1/2023
Q3 2023 (July 1-September 30)	2/1/2024
Q4 2023 (October 1-December 31)	5/1/2024
<b>CY 2026 – Subsequent Years Methodology – Proposed (transition complete)</b>	
Q1 2024 (January 1-March 31)	8/1/2024
Q2 2024 (April 1-June 30)	11/1/2024
Q3 2024 (July 1-September 30)	2/1/2025
Q4 2024 (October 1-December 31)	5/1/2025



<b>OQR PATIENT ENCOUNTER QUARTERS AND DATA SUBMISSION DEADLINES</b>	
<b>Encounter Quarter</b>	<b>Data Submission Deadline*</b>

\*All deadlines occurring on a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday, or any other day, all or part of which is declared to be a nonwork day for federal employees by statute or executive order, would be extended to the first day thereafter.

### **Hospital OQR Program Validation Requirements**

CMS proposes to adopt an additional targeting criterion for use in hospital selection for OQR Program data validation beginning with the CY 2023 reporting period/CY 2025 payment determination and for subsequent years:

- Any hospital with a two-tailed confidence interval that is less than 75% and that had less than four quarters of data due to having received an extraordinary circumstances exception from OQR Program data submission for one or more quarters

CMS proposes to add this criterion because the hospital it describes would have less than four quarters of data available for validation and its validation results could be considered inconclusive for a payment determination. CMS clarifies that a hospital with less than four quarters of data but without having received an extraordinary circumstance exception for one or more quarters and that does not meet the 75% reliability threshold clearly is subject to both annual payment update reduction and targeting for validation in the subsequent year. Similarly, a hospital that has four quarters of data subject to validation and does not meet the 75% threshold clearly is subject to both APU reduction and targeting for validation in the subsequent year.

Current criteria for targeted selection are: (1) having failed the previous year's validation, (2) having an outlier value for a measure, (3) not having been randomly selected for validation in any of the previous three years, and (4) having passed validation in the previous year with a two-tailed confidence interval that included 75%. The final criterion identifies hospitals whose accuracy falls within the statistical margin of error and captures both passing and failing facilities.

### **Overall Hospital Quality Star Rating**

The Overall Hospital Quality Star Rating system was first introduced in July 2016, and the methodology was revised in the CY 2021 OPPTS final rule. CMS proposes to revise the regulation text for clarity and provides previously promised follow-up information about adding data from Veterans Health Administration (VHA) hospitals to the ratings. CMS also discusses the potential application of its measure suppression policy to ratings published in 2023 as needed to address COVID-19 PHE effects on the measure data underlying the ratings.

### **Regulation Text Amendment Regarding Frequency of Publication and Data Used**

CMS proposes to clarify which data periods are used to refresh Overall Hospital Quality Star Ratings by referencing a quarter "within the prior 12 months" instead of a quarter "within the prior year." CMS believes the original language might have been construed to refer to a Care Compare refresh from the prior calendar year rather than the intended prior 12 months; the latter period could include months from two different calendar years.

### **Adding VHA Hospitals to Hospital Quality Star Ratings**

In the CY 2021 OPPTS final rule, CMS began including VHA hospitals in the quality measure data for the calculation of the star ratings beginning with CY 2023. Since then, CMS has conducted an internal analysis with measure data from all VHA hospitals in the calculation of the star ratings. CMS found that including VHA hospitals did not have a significant impact on non-VHA hospital star ratings (over 90% did not experience a change in their star rating and no hospital gained or lost more than one star) and, therefore, CMS intends to continue to include VHA hospitals in the calculation for future star ratings.

### *Potential Data Suppression for 2023 Overall Hospital Quality Star Ratings*

CMS acknowledges concerns about publishing Overall Hospital Quality Star Ratings inclusive of data impacted by the COVID-19 PHE. Current policy allows for suppression of one or more measures used for Star Ratings when extenuating circumstances affect numerous hospitals (e.g., natural disasters), CMS makes calculation errors or has systemic issues (e.g., incorrect data processing), or a PHE substantially affects the underlying measure data.

CMS notes that data for nearly all measures used for the 2021 and 2022 Care Compare refreshes of Overall Hospital Quality Star Ratings were collected prior to the COVID-19 PHE declaration because CMS issued a blanket exception from quality data reporting for Q1 and Q2 2020, including all data sources. However, quality data collection resumed with Q3 2020. CMS has stated an intention to complete a refresh of the ratings in 2023. CMS notes that, while it intends to refresh Overall Hospital Quality Star Ratings in 2023 on Care Compare, the agency may choose to exercise its measure suppression authority should an analysis of the underlying measure data show it to have been substantially affected by the COVID-19 PHE.

### **Prior Authorization**

In the CY 2020 OPPTS final rule, CMS established a prior authorization process as a condition of payment for certain hospital-based services. Currently, prior authorization must be obtained for service dates on or after July 1, 2020, for the following service categories: (i) blepharoplasty, (ii) botulinum toxin injections, (iii) panniculectomy, (iv) rhinoplasty, and (v) vein ablation. Prior authorization must be obtained for service dates on or after July 1, 2021, for service categories: (i) cervical fusion with disc removal and (ii) implanted spinal neurostimulators.

CMS proposes to add the service category, facet joint interventions, to the prior authorization list, effective for dates of service on or after March 1, 2023. The proposed facet joint interventions service category would consist of facet joint injections, medial branch nerve blocks, and facet joint nerve destruction. A full list of the services that require prior authorization, included in the proposed category, can be found in Tables 79 and 80 of the proposed rule.

### **RFI on Use of CMS Data to Drive Competition in Health Care**

On July 9, 2021, President Biden issued an executive order on promoting competition in the American economy ([EO 14036](#)), which identified hospital consolidation as a concern of the administration. In the proposed rule, CMS outlines several of its actions intended to support competition. Among those actions, CMS highlights its release of data outlining hospitals' and nursing facilities' mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, and changes in ownership in the Medicare Provider Enrollment, Chain, and Ownership System (PECOS).

In response to the executive order, CMS seeks information from the public on how data that the agency collects could be used to “promote competition across the health care system or protect the public from the harmful effects of consolidation within healthcare.” Specifically, CMS seeks comments in response to the following questions:

- What additional PECOS data would be helpful to release to help identify the impact of provider mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, and changes in ownership on the affordability and availability of medical care, and why?
- Should CMS release data on any mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, and changes in ownership that have taken place for any additional types of providers beyond nursing facilities and hospitals? If so, for which types of providers?
- What additional information collected by CMS would be useful for the public or researchers who are studying the impacts of mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, or changes in ownership?
- Would PECOS data for transactions occurring before the 2016 CMS revalidation effort be useful for the public or researchers, even if such data may be less complete?

## Appendix – Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program Measures Table

NQF		2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
0288	OP-2: Fibrinolytic Therapy Received Within 30 Minutes of ED arrival	X	X	X	Removed	
0290	OP-3: Median Time to Transfer to Another Facility for Acute Coronary Intervention	X	X	X	Removed	
0514 <sup>+</sup>	OP-8: MRI Lumbar Spine for Low Back Pain	X	X	X	X	X
	OP-10: Abdomen CT – Use of Contrast Material	X	X	X	X	X
0669	OP-13: Cardiac Imaging for Preoperative Risk Assessment for Non-Cardiac Low-Risk Surgery	X	X	X	X	X
0496	OP-18: Median Time from ED Arrival to ED Departure for Discharged ED Patients	X	X	X	X	X
0499 <sup>+</sup>	OP-22: ED- Left Without Being Seen	X	X	X	X	X
0661	OP-23: ED- Head CT Scan Results for Acute Ischemic Stroke or Hemorrhagic Stroke who Received Head CT Scan Interpretation Within 45 minutes of Arrival	X	X	X	X	X
0658	OP-29: Appropriate Follow-up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients	X	X	X	X	X
1536 <sup>+</sup>	OP-31: Cataracts – Improvement in Patient's Visual Function within 90 Days Following Cataract Surgery				Proposed Voluntary	Proposed Voluntary
2539	OP-32: Facility Seven Day Risk Standardized Hospital Visit Rate After Outpatient Colonoscopy	X	X	X	X	X
	OP-35: Admissions and ED Visits for Patients Receiving Outpatient Chemotherapy	X	X	X	X	X
2687	OP-36: Hospital Visits After Hospital Outpatient Surgery	X	X	X	X	X
	OP-37a-e: Outpatient and Ambulatory Surgery Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (OAS CAHPS) Survey-Based Measures				Voluntary	X
	OP-38: COVID-19 Vaccination Coverage Among Health Care Personnel (HCP)			X	X	X
	OP-39: Breast Cancer Screening Recall Rates		X	X	X	X
	OP-40: ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) eCQM				Voluntary	X

<sup>+</sup> CMS notes that NQF endorsement for the measure has been removed.